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Abstract

This paper aims to determine a blueprint for ensuring the economic sustainability of airlines of the South Pacific countries, lessen the financial burden to governments and peoples of the region and yet deliver essential air services necessary for the economic well being of the region. Current costs of ownership and operation of small regional airlines in small Pacific communities are high and in most cases not economically sustainable. The methodologies used were case studies, surveys of stakeholders; a Delphi group session and analysis of best practice. The study concludes with a blueprint for economic sustainability of the South Pacific airlines.

1.0 RESEARCH BACKGROUND

Air transportation is essential for the countries of the Pacific region, no matter how small or insignificant, for economic development, as a public utility, and in some countries, for national pride as well (Gibb et al 1991, Forsyth and King 1996, Kissling 1985, Doganis 2001, Ballantyne 2001, ASPA 2002, SPTO 2003). However the costs of owning and operating an airline are high in the sparsely populated and remote Pacific Islands

Government support, in the region, is still a vital necessity to assist in making the operation of national airlines viable (Guild 2002, Campbell 2002(1), and Virelala 2003). Airlines management strategies, operational practices, and market demand on air transportation need to be addressed concurrently (Holloway 2002). Adopting world’s best practices (WBP) perhaps in these areas would give the small national airlines of the Pacific Islands better chances of continual viability and further contribute towards economic sustainability in the future; delivering increased social and economic benefits to the host country and all stakeholders.

Global airlines are struggling to be viable and economically sustainable, given the current economic and commercial climate for aviation globally (PATA 2007, IATA 2007, Graham, A., Papatheodorou, A., and Forsyth, P. 2008). Both International Civil Aviation Organisation (ICAO) and International Air Transport Association (IATA) highlighted the continuing financial deterioration of the global airline industry with continual net losses annually between 2002 and 2006 totaling in excess of US$ 42.0 billion (IATA Annual Report 2007).

1.1 South Pacific Air Transportation

In the South Pacific, islands are characteristically scattered across great expanses of ocean. Their population and market size are small with high transport costs (Forsyth and King 1996, Kissling 2002, SPTO 2003, Pacific Transport Study 2004). These characteristics along with conflicts between the demand for socially desirable airline route networks, and the need to achieve adequate economic returns (Taumoepeau 1989, Forsyth and King 1996, Kissling 1985, Gibb et al 1991; Wheatcroft 1994, King 2002, Holloway 2002, Virelala 2003), create extraordinary severe pressures on airline operations (Kissling 1985, Campbell 2002(2)). Services by smaller national airlines in the South Pacific region are also treated by respective governments, as an extension of their public utility services (Kissling 1985, Findlay & Forsyth 1988, Gibb et al 1991, Campbell 2002(2), Virelala 2003), providing the only essential link, in most cases, between the capital and the out-lying communities (Vernaudon 2002, Masson 2002). These trends thus raise a very important question, how to ensure sustainability for these
small regional carriers given the nature and variety of social, political and useful commercial roles they have to fulfill (Campbell 2002(2)).

Recent financial losses of some government-owned airlines, such as Royal Tongan Airline, Polynesian Airline, Air Niugini, Air Kiribati, Air Tahiti nui and Air Vanuatu, have dominated recent aviation trends in the region and have absorbed a sizeable proportion of the national annual budgets from government coffers. (http://www.islandsbusiness.com/business/aviation/regionalairlinestateofplay/)

A recent survey of profit and loss situations of airlines of the region (Taumoepeau 2007) showed that financial situations of airlines has deteriorated between the period 2001-2006, coupled with one national airline (Royal Tongan Airline) went into bankruptcy in 2004, Polynesian Airline being restructured with a new partner Pacific Blue in 2006 and most other airlines were restructured in order to survive. Most airlines are still in deep financial problems (except Air Rarotonga, Air Pacific and Air Tahiti), with even worst situations predicted for the immediate future in view of the recent hikes in the cost of fuel. Taumoepeau (2007) estimated that the combined regional airlines losses for six airlines (Table 1.1) exceeded US$44 million in 2006. These financial losses were also attributed to factors such continual servicing of unsustainable social routes, inadequate commercial planning and mistakes in the choice of right aircraft for the routes served at the time. During the same period, the South Pacific governments have imposed little financial discipline on their airlines, decreasing incentives to undertake efficiency-enhancing measures (Campbell 2002b; King 2002, Taumoepeau 2007).

1.2 The South Pacific regional boundary

The South Pacific regional boundary; spans islands and states south of the equator from PNG to Tahiti with Australia and New Zealand excluded. The islands of this region, discussed herein are shown on Figure 1.1 including: Papua New Guinea, Nauru, New Caledonia, Vanuatu, Tuvalu, Kiribati, Solomons, Fiji, Samoa, America Samoa, Tonga, Niue, Cook Islands and Tahiti.
Table 1.1 Estimated profit/loss situations of selected South Pacific airlines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Air Rarotonga</td>
<td>GZ</td>
<td>Rarotonga</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Kiribati</td>
<td>VK</td>
<td>Kiribati</td>
<td>(0.8)</td>
<td>(1.2)</td>
<td>(3.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Nauru</td>
<td>ON</td>
<td>Nauru</td>
<td>(1.0)</td>
<td>(0.8)</td>
<td>(2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Vanuatu</td>
<td>NF</td>
<td>Vanuatu</td>
<td>(1.5)</td>
<td>(2.0)</td>
<td>(2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solomon Airlines</td>
<td>IE</td>
<td>Solomon Islands</td>
<td>(1.2)</td>
<td>(0.6)</td>
<td>(3.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polynesian Airlines</td>
<td>PH</td>
<td>Samoa</td>
<td>(2.0)</td>
<td>(2.0)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AirCalin</td>
<td>SB</td>
<td>New Caledonia</td>
<td>(11.5)</td>
<td>(20.0)</td>
<td>(16.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Tahiti</td>
<td>VT</td>
<td>Tahiti</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Tahiti Nui</td>
<td>TN</td>
<td>Tahiti</td>
<td>(3.0)</td>
<td>(1.8)</td>
<td>(17.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Royal Tongan Airlines</td>
<td>WR</td>
<td>Tonga</td>
<td>(3.8)</td>
<td>(6.5)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Niugini</td>
<td>PX</td>
<td>PapuaNew Guinea</td>
<td>(6.0)</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Pacific</td>
<td>FJ</td>
<td>Fiji</td>
<td>(11.0)</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Profit/(Loss)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>(38.5)</strong></td>
<td><strong>(15.5)</strong></td>
<td><strong>(44.3)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Taumoepeau 2007, Airlines Annual Reports, ASPA 2002-2003, Pacific Regional Transport Study 2004, Researcher's interviews with airlines executives. Figures were estimated by officials/airlines managers and reported in local currency in most cases and conversion rates to US$ varies during the study period. To obtain a broader picture all currencies have been converted to US$ using exchange rates prevailing on 30th June 2007.

1.3 Research Issue

Determine some of the factors that could contribute to the economic sustainability of the airlines of the South Pacific.
2.0 AIRLINES ECONOMIC SUSTAINABILITY

Various discussions in the South Pacific have highlighted possibilities for ensuring airlines and governments can recover some of their costs including the following:

- pooling of resources
- codesharing of flights
- regional airservices office to streamline security and aviation compliance requirements
- increase tourism activities through increased investments from overseas
- decreasing role for governments and bring in commercial management people

2.1 Global Best Practice Framework
Figure 2.1 below shows the pattern of key influences on airline practices from the 1980s to early 2000s, with much stronger influences from the commercial environment and cost control drive (Wheatcroft 2000, Taumoepeau 2007). Government influences played a diminishing and changing role from direct involvement to that of facilitation of infrastructure and environment conducive for airline growth (Taumoepeau 2007). Airline practices during this period in most instances reflected these factors.

**Figure 2.1 Global developments of airline practices - early 1980s to early 2000s**

![Diagram showing the pattern of key influences on airline practices from the 1980s to early 2000s.](source: Taumoepeau 2007)

### 2.2 South Pacific Framework

Figure 2.2 below shows that aviation development in the South Pacific region from the 1990s to the present day mirrors the development of the global airlines from the late 1940s to that of the late 1970s as shown above in Figure 2.1. However, the South Pacific aviation scene is still strongly dominated by host government policies and direct involvement (Gibb et al 1991, Kissling 2002, King 2002, Taumoepeau 2007).

**Figure 2.2 South Pacific Airlines Practice from 1990s to early 2000s**

![Diagram showing the aviation development in the South Pacific region from the 1990s to the present day.](source: Taumoepeau 2007)
3.0 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study employed the following research tools: an airline’s passenger survey, experience surveys, case studies and a Delphi group\(^\text{1}\) as listed with the study objectives and propositions on Figure 3.1 below.

Figure 3.1 Study Objectives, Research Tools and the Study Propositions

4.0 FINDINGS

4.1 SHOULD A PACIFIC ISLAND NATION LIKE THE KINGDOM of TONGA PROVIDE A NATIONAL AIR SERVICE?

A passenger survey indicated there is a need for domestic air services for Tonga. Of the 530 passengers surveyed, approximately 81\% of the passengers replied yes to the question whether Tonga needs a domestic service or not. About 2\% of the passengers surveyed, replied no and 16\% were not sure with no comment from one passenger. The passengers were asked reasons why Tonga needs an airline service. The reasons are ranked in order of importance below. On family reunion benefit, 168 passengers (31.7\%) ranked this as very important. Enable visits to outer islands, 260 passengers (49\%) ranked this as very important. Help Government carry out their duties and services, 211 passengers (39.8\%) ranked this as very important. Assist with emergency cases, 326

\(^{1}\) Delphi technique consists of convening a panel of interested parties, experts and stakeholders on a particular issue/topic/industry. After differing interests and views have been adequately expressed and advocated, a meaningful outcome is arrived at and documented.
passengers (61.5%) ranked this as very important. Improve tourism, overall economic and social development with 339 respondents (64%) ranked this as very important. Figure 4.1 below summarises the findings from the survey.

Figure 4.1 Reasons why Tonga needs a domestic service

4.2 Are the Current Airlines Practices of Pacific Island Airlines Economically Sustainable?

Findings from the case studies, passengers’ survey, experience surveys and the Delphi group provided data that current practices of Pacific island airlines are not economically sustainable. Findings are summarised in Table 4.1.

The Delphi Group also highlighted the following factors, why airlines are not economically sustainable:

- Remoteness and length of distances between islands and airfields
- Limited size of the markets and low volume
- Specific overrun factors
- High Airport Charges
- Low Load Factor
- Some necessary thin sectors are not sustainable
- Soft currency earned by regional airlines but pay out in hard currency (US $)
### Table 4.1 Summary of selected regional airlines sustainability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regional Airlines</th>
<th>Financial Status 2003</th>
<th>Financial Status 2006/7</th>
<th>Main core of business</th>
<th>Market Outlook for airlines</th>
<th>Why airlines not economically sustainable?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GZ</td>
<td>Profitable</td>
<td>Profitable</td>
<td>tourism</td>
<td>12% growth</td>
<td>If there is too much govt. intervention, wrong equipment, not enough demand and unable to manage cost of operation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VT</td>
<td>Profitable</td>
<td>Profitable</td>
<td>tourism</td>
<td>12% growth</td>
<td>Undercapitalised, small tourism plant, not enough demand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VK</td>
<td>Loss</td>
<td>Loss</td>
<td>Ethnic market</td>
<td>Negative growth</td>
<td>Undercapitalised, small tourism plant, not enough demand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FJ</td>
<td>Loss*</td>
<td>Profitable</td>
<td>tourism</td>
<td>10% growth</td>
<td>Not enough hotel rooms, if adopt open sky policy, terrorism and unstable politically</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH</td>
<td>Loss</td>
<td>Loss</td>
<td>Tourism and ethnic market</td>
<td>Negative growth market share</td>
<td>Undercapitalised, small tourism demand, can have overcapacity, unable to manage cost of operation, govt intervention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF</td>
<td>Loss</td>
<td>Loss</td>
<td>tourism</td>
<td>Negative growth market share</td>
<td>Undercapitalised, small tourism demand, can have overcapacity, unable to manage cost of operation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IE</td>
<td>Loss</td>
<td>Loss</td>
<td>tourism</td>
<td>Negative growth market share</td>
<td>Undercapitalised, small tourism demand, can have overcapacity, unable to manage cost of operation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WR</td>
<td>Loss</td>
<td>Bankrupted</td>
<td>Tourism and ethnic market</td>
<td>Negative growth market share</td>
<td>Undercapitalised, small tourism demand, can have overcapacity, unable to manage cost of operation, govt. intervention</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* FJ became profitable during the 2003/4 fiscal year.


### 4.3 Best Practice and Blueprint for Economic Sustainability

This was addressed through an experience survey of airline stakeholders as outlined in Table 4.2.
Table 4.2 Key best practices for economic sustainability from airlines experience survey

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Airlines</th>
<th>GZ</th>
<th>VK</th>
<th>ON</th>
<th>NF</th>
<th>IE</th>
<th>PH</th>
<th>SB</th>
<th>TN</th>
<th>WR</th>
<th>PX</th>
<th>FJ</th>
<th>NZ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alliances/code sharing</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Govt. direct involvement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost control</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More gov't. subsidy/equity</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good equipment choice</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve tourism infrastructure</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve marketing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve commercial management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More regional cooperation with airlines</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adopt low cost airlines</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: analysis of field data

The findings listed cost control, code sharing and continued subsidies as the most important ones. Also indicated is the need for a gradual move towards multilateralism and less dependence on the stage of development of tourism and infrastructure and level of air services. These important factors were also reflected in the outcome of a separate stakeholder’s survey that ranked alliance/code shares; cost control; good equipment choice; improve marketing; more cooperation and best practice as important key strategies that are most critical in bringing back economic sustainability to their airlines.

A case study of the Tonga national carrier operation in 2002/03 showed that the national airline was successful, after a relatively short period, in improving their financial operation after securing a code share arrangement with Air New Zealand up to four times per week between Auckland and Tonga in 2001/02. After 12 months of code share arrangement, the Tonga national carrier was able to improve their financial operation from a loss of TOP $7.6 million in 2000/01 to a break even situation in 2001/02. The national carrier also arranged code share arrangement with Air Pacific, with similar financial results recorded (Royal Tongan Airline 2002/03).

5.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 Best Practice and a Blueprint for economic sustainability

The experience surveys and the Delphi group identified the following best practices:

- Cutting down costs of sales and distributions through Internet bookings
- Resource Pooling – Alliances and Code shares
- Market enhancement through tourism development
- Right choice of equipment
- Enhancement of aviation infrastructure best practice

Based on the literature reviewed and the findings of this study certain characteristics are identified with respect to airline economic sustainability or unsustainability as shown in Figure 5.1 below.

**Figure 5.1 Characteristics of Unsustainable and Sustainable Airline Model in the South Pacific**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unsustainable airlines</th>
<th>Sustainable airlines</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Public sector domination</td>
<td>Private sector domination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mission emphasise public utility service and social links</td>
<td>Mission emphasise profitability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ad hoc equipment and capacity planning</td>
<td>Sound planning on equipment and capacity and scheduling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No cost control</td>
<td>Cost control well developed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under capitalised</td>
<td>Keen to maintain routes protection from government tourism market oriented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ad hoc views on open sky and bilaterals</td>
<td>Higher capacity for tourism with core accommodation rooms more than 1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reliance on ethnic seasonal traditional market</td>
<td>No government intervention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less tourism market orientated</td>
<td>Well capitalised</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limited tourism infrastructure</td>
<td>Bigger international airport as main hub</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core accommodation rooms less than 1000</td>
<td>Major connections on south hemisphere-north hemisphere trunk routes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct government intervention and high government representation on the Board</td>
<td>Stabilised with management assistance from metropolitan carriers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No private sector and/or professional representation on the Boards of airlines</td>
<td>Management and structure stability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management and structure instability</td>
<td>Link to major gateways</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small airport hub</td>
<td>Good links onto south-north hemisphere trunk routes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No links onto south-north hemisphere trunk routes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: Taumoepeau 2007*

**5.2 Managed Integrated Independent South Pacific Airlines (MIISPA)**

In synthesising the findings and the strategies, with all their recommended activities, a cooperative strategy is suggested, a well managed integration of the independent airlines in the region (MIISPA) (as depicted in Figure 5.2 below). The rationale is to enable economies of scale and scope, pooling of managed resources, code shares, spare-parts inventory, joint training programmes, cooperative marketing, common reservation systems and deliberate cutting of costs of operation in all areas whilst maintaining the identities and flight codes of the participating airlines.
Airlines that could participate in the new MIISPA regional system include a new national Tongan Airline, Polynesian airline (PH), Solomon airline (IE), Air Vanuatu (NF), Air Kiribati (VK), Air Fiji (PC), Aircalin (SB), Air Tahiti (VT), Air Tahiti nui (TN), Air Niugini (PX), Air Nauru (ON) and Air Pacific (FJ).

This cooperative strategy suggests new collective governance of South Pacific national airlines to cope with uncertain futures. This is especially significant in view of the emerging global situation for airlines and the limited opportunities within the region for more economic growth. It is seen as vital to avoid duplication of resources, adopt more commercial best practice strategy and lessen the burden on taxpayers for the operation of their national carriers.

5.3 Policy implications for the South Pacific governments and airlines

The policy implications for the South Pacific governments and airlines include:

- A gradual move towards multilateralism and deregulations of air services in the region for the future, but is not an immediate need as local national airlines need to become economically sustainable without the impact of other carriers in the region.
- Strategies for facilitation of code shares amongst airlines on bilateral air services agreements and to cut operational costs as well as gaining new market segments.
- Adoption of best practice management strategy, with no political intervention, for the economic sustainability of airlines in the region.
- Governments to continue to work cooperatively in all areas of regional tourism marketing, sharing of resources and in the commercial front.
Figure 5.2: MIISPA flight path for economic sustainability of South Pacific Airlines
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